

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/448,164	11/24/1999	PAUL S. GERMSCHEID	33012/277/10#	4733	
75	590 01/29/2003				
CHARLES A JOHNSON UNISYS CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT M S 4773 2470 HIGHCREST ROAD			EXAMINER		
			WASSUM, LUKE S		
			WASSOM, LOKE S		
ROSEVILLE, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11002 / 1222, 1			2177		
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/448,164		GERMSCHEID ET A	L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Luke S. Wassum		2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this comn 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 L	December 2002 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· ·	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
e.\	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		_					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		•	• , ,				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	-	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. 88 120	aliQ/01 121.				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
3) L Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	6) 🔲	Other: .					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 16 December 2002 has been entered.

Response to Preliminary Amendment

- 2. The Applicants' Preliminary amendment, filed 16 December 2002, has been received, entered into the record, and considered.
- 3. As a result of the amendment, independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16 have been amended. Claims 1-20 are now presented for examination.

Embodiment of the Invention

4. The claimed invention is for a database management system accessible over the Internet, whereby a user can send a request to create an empty data set within the database management system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2177

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hong et al. (U.S. Patent 6,266,673).
- Regarding claim 1, **Hong et al.** teaches an improvement in a data processing environment having a user responsively coupled via a publicly accessible digital data communications network to a database management system having at least one database, comprising a service request generated by said user terminal and transferred to said database management system via said publicly accessible data communications network which creates a non-relational empty data set with a specified data set ID within the database management system (see col. 6, lines 10-21; see also col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 11; see also extensive discussion of the generation of table and object identification numbers, col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 67).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Hong et al. teaches an apparatus comprising:
 - a) a user terminal (see col. 2, line 60 through col. 3, line 6; see also col. 5, lines 25-64);
 - b) a database management system having access to a database responsively coupled to said user terminal via a publicly accessible digital data communication network (see col. 2, line 60 through col. 3, line 6; see also col. 5, lines 25-64); and

Art Unit: 2177

- c) a service request generated by said user terminal and transferred to said database management system via said publicly accessible data communications network which causes said database management system to create an empty set having a specified data set ID (see col. 6, lines 10-21; see also col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 11; see also extensive discussion of the generation of table and object identification numbers, col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 67).
- 9. Regarding claim 11, **Hong et al.** teaches a method of utilizing a user terminal to access a remote database management system having a database via a publicly accessible digital data communication network comprising:
 - a) transmitting a service request from said user terminal via said publicly accessible digital data communication network (see col. 5, lines 25-64);
 - b) receiving said service request by said remote database management system (see col. 6, lines 10-21); and
 - c) creating a non-relational empty data set by said database management system in response to receipt of said service request (see col. 6, lines 10-21; see also col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 11).
- 10. Regarding claim 16, Hong et al. teaches an apparatus comprising:
 - a) means for permitting a user to interact using a non-SQL service request with a database via a publicly accessible digital data communication network (see col. 5, lines 25-64);

Application/Control Number: 09/448,164
Art Unit: 2177

b) means responsively coupled to said permitting means via said publicly accessible digital data communication network for offering data processing services involving access to said database in response to said non-SQL service request (see col. 6, lines 10-21); and

Page 5

- c) means for creating an empty data set within said database management system (see col. 6, lines 10-21; see also col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 11).
- 11. Regarding claims 2, 7 and 13, **Hong et al.** additionally teaches an improvement, method and apparatus wherein said database management system further comprises a repository in which said non-relational empty data set is created (see Figure 2; see also col. 6, lines 22-64).
- 12. Regarding claims 3, 8 and 12, **Hong et al.** additionally teaches an improvement, method and apparatus further comprising a parameter set associated with said non-SQL service request whereby said non-relational empty set is created in accordance with said parameter set (see parameter sets at col. 7, lines 7-12 and 38-40).
- 13. Regarding claims 4, 14 and 17, **Hong et al.** additionally teaches an improvement, apparatus and method wherein said publicly accessible digital data communication network comprises the Internet (see col. 5, lines 25-64).
- 14. Regarding claim 18, **Hong et al.** additionally teaches an apparatus wherein said permitting means further comprises means for generating and transmitting said non-SQL service request requesting said database management system to execute said creating step (see col. 6, lines 10-43; see also col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 11).

Art Unit: 2177

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 17. Claims 5, 9, 10, 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hong et al. (U.S. Patent 6,266,673) in view of UNISYS[1] ("Why Do I Need Cool ICE?").
- 18. Regarding claims 5, 9, 15 and 19, **Hong et al.** teaches an improvement to a data processing environment, method and apparatus substantially as claimed.

Hong et al. does not teach the improvement, method and apparatus wherein said database management system is MAPPER.

Art Unit: 2177

However, UNISYS[1] teaches the advantages of the database management system called Cool ICE, which is based on Unisys' MAPPER engine technology (see page 3, second paragraph Performance, reliability, and scalability).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use MAPPER as the database management system, since MAPPER has been tuned for reliability, scalability, and high performance, and the technology has been used for years by thousands of users for many different kinds of applications, and has gained a reputation for performing well for everything from small data analysis applications to huge transaction systems, and has exemplary reliability (see page 3, second paragraph Performance, reliability, and scalability).

- 19. Regarding claim 10, **Hong et al.** additionally teaches an improvement wherein said publicly accessible digital data communication network comprises the World Wide Web (see col. 5, lines 25-64).
- 20. Regarding claim 20, **Hong et al.** additionally teaches an apparatus wherein said permitting means further comprises an industry standard personal computer (see col. 4, lines 7-38; see also col. 5, lines 25-64).

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments filed 16 December 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2177

22. In response to the Applicants' argument that the **Hong et al.** reference teaches a system where the DBMS and the database client are co-located within the same computer system, the examiner points out the following passages from col. 6, lines 9-21:

"A database client may be a computer system, including the computer system executing the DBMS, or another computer system executing another DBMS. In the computer system 100 of FIG. 1, sequences of instructions comprised by the DBMS are executed by the processor 104 to carry out requests of a database client... The requests of a database dient may thenselves be issued in response to requests received from an individual through a user interface provided by the dient." (emphasis added).

In addition, the reference teaches the ability to address objects residing in different database systems, and also teaches that clients can exist at locations remote from the target database server, beginning at col. 2, line 62:

"In a distributed environment, a client accessing a database first forms a "connection" to one of the databases. The database to which a client connects is referred to as the local database. Other databases are referred to as remote databases. Any table which resides on a remote database is referred to as a remote table, or remote object table in the case of an object table. Often a remote database resides at a location remote to the local database." (emphasis added).

Furthermore, the fact that "requests can be received from an individual through a user interface provided by a client" renders the existence of the claimed "user terminal" inherent in the client system.

23. Regarding the Applicants' argument that the obviousness rejections of claims 5, 9, 10, 15, 19 and 20, the examiner points out that ORACLETM is cited in the reference as an *example* of a DBMS, and that the disclosed invention is not limited to the use of the ORACLETM DBMS.

Art Unit: 2177

However, the examiner does supply additional prior art in this action to support the rejection of the applicable claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Seybold ("Sperry's Mapper: System Generator for End-Users") is a product announcement for Sperry's Mapper, which combines the abilities of fourth-generation language programming, transaction processing, relational DBMS, report writing and planning and control.

King ("Hazards Control Department Use of the Sperry Database Management System MAPPER") teaches that MAPPER is extremely versatile and is considered one of the best fourth generation programs.

Knight ("Unisys Phasing Out its Proprietary OS") teaches that many of Unisys' clients stayed with the company because of the attractive Mapper database management and fourth-generation language (4GL) system.

Green ("2nd Half of CBAS Conversion Starts") teaches that Mapper is a relational-type data management system that provides the flexibility needed to reformat distributed funding amounts and base-reported accounting data into formats that better allow budget analysts to see where and how Air Force dollars are being spent.

Unisys[2] ("Secure Electronic Business: How Cool ICE Makes It Happen") teaches the security features of the Cool ICE database management system.

East Coast Computer, Inc. ("The MAPPER System") teaches the features of the MAPPER system.

Art Unit: 2177

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luke S. Wassum whose telephone number is 703-305-5706. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

In addition, INFORMAL or DRAFT communications may be faxed directly to the examiner at 703-746-5658.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Luke S. Wassum Art Unit 2177

Juke & Wasseen

lsw January 23, 2003

> JEAN R. HOMERE PRIMARY EXAMINER